II. Anti-Personnel Mines and Cluster Munitions
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The Anti-Personnel Mines Convention

The 1997 Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production and Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and on their Destruction (APM Convention) prohibits, among other things, the use, development, production and transfer of anti-personnel mines. These are mines that detonate on human contact, that is they are ‘victim-activated’, and therefore encompass improvised explosive devices (IEDs) that act as APMs, also known as ‘improvised mines’.\(^1\) At the 2014 Review Conference, states parties set a target of fully eliminating APMs and addressing the consequences of past use by 2025.

Compliance with the APM Convention has generally been good. Core obligations have largely been respected and ambiguities, where they have arisen, have been dealt with in a satisfactory manner. However, the APM Convention continues to be undercut by the refusal of some states, such as China, Iran, Israel, the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea (DPRK, or North Korea), Russia, Saudi Arabia and the United States, to sign it.\(^2\)

There are currently 164 states parties to the APM Convention. These include all the European Union (EU) member states, every state in sub-Saharan Africa and every state in the Americas apart from Cuba and the USA. Only 33 states remain outside the treaty.\(^3\) No new states joined in 2018. Each year since 1997, a resolution in support of a total ban on APMs and the APM Convention has been passed in the UN General Assembly. In 2018, Resolution 73/61 was passed with 169 votes in favour (two more than the previous highest ever vote in favour in 2017), none against and 16 abstentions.\(^4\)

Use of APMs in 2018

New use of APMs by states is now extremely rare. According to Landmine Monitor, 2018, only Myanmar—a state outside the treaty—recorded use in the period October 2017 to October 2018, and has been deploying APMs for the past 20 years.\(^5\) The 2018 report by the UN Independent International
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\(^1\) IEDs are also discussed in the CCW Convention, see section I in this chapter.


\(^3\) For a summary of the APM Convention see annex A, section I, in this volume.


Fact-finding Mission, which investigated mine use allegations in the previous year, found that it had ‘reasonable grounds’ to conclude that landmines had been planted by the Myanmar military in the border regions and in northern Rakhine state ‘with the intended or foreseeable effect’ of injuring or killing Rohingya civilians fleeing to Bangladesh. In addition, new APMs were placed in border areas as part of a ‘deliberate and planned strategy of dissuading Rohingya refugees from attempting to return to Myanmar’. Although Syrian state forces used APMs in the previous reporting period, the International Campaign to Ban Landmines (ICBL) found no evidence of new use in the most recent period.

The use of APMs, including victim-activated IEDs, by non-state armed groups in conflicts is a growing problem. APMs were used by such groups in at least eight countries between October 2017 and October 2018: Afghanistan, Colombia; India, Myanmar, Nigeria, Pakistan, Thailand and Yemen. There were also unconfirmed allegations of use by non-state armed groups in eight other states: Cameroon, Iraq, Libya, Mali, the Philippines, Syria, Tunisia and Ukraine. Geneva Call, an NGO which works to promote respect for international humanitarian norms in armed conflict among armed non-state actors, has since 2000 been seeking pledges from such groups to ‘deeds of commitment’, which provide a public platform for armed groups to commit to specific humanitarian norms. To date, 52 groups have signed the specific deed of commitment on APMs and there is evidence to suggest that many have shown high levels of compliance with their obligations. In Western Sahara, for example, 2500 stockpiled APMs were destroyed by the Popular Front for the Liberation of Saguia el Hamra and Rio de Oro (Polisario Front) in May 2018.

In 2017, the last year for which data is available, the ICBL recorded 7239 casualties linked to mines/explosive remnants of war (ERW), of which at least 2793 were fatal. This marked a third successive year of exceptionally high casualties, albeit lower than in 2016, and a second year in a row in which the highest number of annual casualties was caused by improvised mines. The two countries with the most casualties were Afghanistan and Syria, where high levels of casualties seem to have continued in 2018.
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In Afghanistan, the use of improvised mines by non-state armed groups is mainly attributed to Islamic State of Khorasan Province (ISKP) and to Taliban forces. According to the UN Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), attacks on civilians using IEDs have reached ‘extreme levels’. Since the start of 2018 almost half of all civilian casualties linked to conflict-related violence by such groups have been caused by IEDs.\textsuperscript{11} Between 1 January and 30 September 2018, UNAMA documented 3634 civilian casualties (1065 killed and 2569 injured) from suicide and non-suicide IED attacks, a 21 per cent increase on the same period in 2017.

In Syria, APMs have been killing and injuring civilians returning to areas previously controlled by the Islamic State (IS) group. In Raqqa, for example, heavy landmine contamination resulted in more than 491 people being injured or killed by APMs in the period 21 October 2017 to 20 January 2018.\textsuperscript{12}

\textit{Clearance and destruction measures}

In 2017, international support for mine action—the clearance of landmines and other ERW in order to release land back to the community—increased by over $200 million: 37 donors contributed $673.2 million to 38 states and three other areas. This is the highest amount in more than two decades. The top five mine action donors—Germany, Japan, Norway, the USA and the European Union—contributed almost 80 per cent of all international funding in 2017.\textsuperscript{13} A third international Pledging Conference was hosted by Afghanistan in Geneva in February 2018. Among the pledges of support in 2018 were: a March 2018 pledge of $20 million from South Korea for clearance work in Viet Nam; a June 2018 pledge of $14.2 million by Australia for mine action activities in Iraq and Syria; and a September 2018 pledge of $58 million by the United Kingdom for multiple mine action projects.\textsuperscript{14}

In 2017, 128 square kilometres of land was cleared of landmines and more than 168 000 landmines were destroyed—both decreases compared to 2016.\textsuperscript{15} Mauritania completed clearance of its landmines in 2018. Among the
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56 states and four other sovereignty-disputed areas that are known to have mine contamination, 32 are states parties to the APM Convention. Only four of these appear to be on track to meet the 10-year deadline for clearance of known landmine contamination: the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Peru, Sri Lanka and Zimbabwe. Among the states parties that are still to fulfill their mine clearance obligations are some of the most mine-affected in the world, such as Afghanistan, Angola, Azerbaijan, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Cambodia, Chad, Croatia, Iraq, Thailand, Turkey and Yemen.

The demilitarized zone (DMZ) separating North Korea and South Korea is estimated to be among the most heavily mined areas in the world, but very little data is available on the extent of the contamination. On 1 October 2018, however, as part of the inter-Korean thaw in relations and proposed denuclearization of the peninsula, it was announced that troops from both North and South Korea had begun to clear some of the estimated 1.8–2.2 million landmines buried along the shared border. The clearing process was largely a symbolic confidence-building measure and limited to the removal of small numbers of landmines at two sites in the DMZ: the Joint Security Area in the shared village of Panmunjom; and Arrow Head Hill, a Korean War war grave. The joint demining effort was completed in Panmunjom on 25 October and at Arrow Head Hill on 30 November.

Collectively, states parties have destroyed more than 54 million stockpiled APMs, including the more than 500,000 destroyed in 2017. Oman announced the completion of its stockpile destruction in November 2018, leaving only two states parties with stockpile destruction obligations: Greece and Ukraine. Both countries missed their stockpile destruction deadlines (of 2008 and 2010 respectively) and neither state has indicated when its deadline might be met. Greece has successfully restarted a destruction process that was halted in 2014 following an explosion at a facility in Bulgaria where its stocks were being destroyed. Ukraine faces the challenge of destroying a stockpile that mostly consists of Soviet-era PFM 1 mines, which are extremely hazardous and technically difficult to destroy.

The total remaining global stockpile of APMs is estimated to be less than 50 million. With the exception of Ukraine, the largest stockpilers are
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non-signatories: Russia (26.5 million), Pakistan (6 million), India (4–5 million), China (5 million), Ukraine (4.4 million) and the USA (3 million).\textsuperscript{20}

\textit{The 17th Meeting of States Parties to the APM Convention}

The 17th Meeting of States Parties (MSP) to the APM Convention took place in Geneva on 26–30 November 2018 under the presidency of Afghanistan.\textsuperscript{21} The conference reaffirmed its support for the convention and the 2025 mine-free ambition, expressed concern over the growing use of improvised landmines and called on non-states parties to join the treaty as soon as possible. The conclusions and recommendations of various committees on the operation and implementation of the convention were noted and adopted.\textsuperscript{22}

Seven states parties—Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Cyprus, Serbia, Sudan and Ukraine, which had been in violation of Article 5 of the treaty for missing its 1 June 2016 clearance deadline, and the UK—requested and were granted extensions of their mine clearance deadlines.\textsuperscript{23} Croatia, which joined the convention in 1998, requested and was granted an extension to 2026, while the other six states were granted deadline extensions that fell within the global 2025 mine-free target.\textsuperscript{24} Finally, the meeting agreed to hold the Fourth Review Conference in Oslo on 25–29 November 2019, with an intersessional meeting on 22–24 May 2019.

\textit{The Convention on Cluster Munitions}

The 2008 Convention on Cluster Munitions (CCM) is an international treaty of more than 100 states, among which are former major producers and users as well as affected states. It addresses the humanitarian consequences of, and unacceptable harm to civilians caused by, cluster munitions—air-dropped or ground-launched weapons that release a number of smaller submunitions intended to kill enemy personnel or destroy vehicles. There are three main criticisms of cluster munitions: they disperse large numbers of submunitions imprecisely over an extended area; they frequently fail to detonate and are difficult to detect; and submunitions can remain explosive hazards for many decades.\textsuperscript{25} The CCM establishes an unconditional prohibition
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and a framework for action. It also requires the destruction of stockpiles within eight years, the clearance of areas contaminated by cluster munition remnants within 10 years and the provision of assistance for victims of such weapons.

In 2018, the CCM celebrated its 10th anniversary and gained three additional states parties: Gambia and Namibia ratified the convention on 11 December and 31 August, respectively, while Sri Lanka deposited its instrument of accession on 1 March 2018. As of 31 December 2018, the CCM had 105 states parties and 15 signatory states.

In December 2018, 144 states, including 32 non-signatories to the convention, voted to adopt the fourth UN General Assembly resolution supporting the CCM. The resolution provides states outside of the CCM with an important opportunity to indicate their support for the humanitarian rationale of the treaty and the objective of its universalization. Zimbabwe was the only state to vote against the resolution (for the fourth successive year) but 38 states abstained, including Russia which had voted against the resolution in 2017. Debates on the CCM in the UN General Assembly First Committee focused on condemnations of use of the weapon and calls for universalization of the convention.

Use of cluster munitions in 2018

No state party has used cluster munitions since the CCM was adopted and most of the states still outside of the convention abide de facto by the ban on the use and production of the weapon. Despite international condemnation, however, there was continued use of cluster munitions in Syria in 2018, albeit seemingly at lower levels than in previous years. Cluster munitions have been used in Syria since mid 2012. According to Cluster Munition Monitor, 2018 there were at least 36 cluster munition attacks in the 12 months to June 2018, mostly carried out by the armed forces of the Syrian Government. In addition, Amnesty International recorded cluster munition use in Syria in September 2018.

26 For a summary of the Convention on Cluster Munitions see annex A, section I, in this volume.
29 For a summary of the debates on the CCM in the General Assembly First Committee, see Reaching Critical Will, First Committee Monitor, no. 6 (11 Nov. 2018), pp. 11–12.
There were also unverified allegations of cluster munition use in Egypt and Libya.\textsuperscript{32} In Yemen, however, where multiple cluster munitions attacks were recorded in the three-year period 2015–17, there was no evidence of new use in 2018.\textsuperscript{33}

\textit{Destruction, transparency and clearance measures}

As of the end of July 2018, 33 of the 41 states parties that possessed stockpiles of cluster munitions had completed the destruction of nearly 1.4 million stockpiled cluster munitions containing 177 million submunitions. This represents the destruction of 99 per cent of all the cluster munitions and submunitions declared as stockpiled under the CCM. In June–July 2018, Croatia, Slovenia and Spain completed destruction of their stockpiled cluster munitions.\textsuperscript{34} It is not possible to provide a global estimate of the quantity of cluster munitions currently stockpiled by non-signatories to the CCM as too few have disclosed information on the types and quantities they possess. In September 2018, Israel announced that it would destroy some of its older stocks of cluster munitions.\textsuperscript{35}

As of July 2018, 89 states parties had submitted an initial transparency report as required by the convention, while 13 states parties had failed to do so, including four that were originally due in 2011. In addition, 56 states parties had submitted their annual updated transparency report covering activities in 2017.\textsuperscript{36}

Conflict and insecurity made the clearance of cluster munitions more challenging in several countries, but at least 93 km\(^2\) of contaminated land was cleared in 2017, resulting in the destruction of 153 000 submunitions—both increases compared with 2016.\textsuperscript{37} More than three-quarters of the land cleared was in three countries: Cambodia, Laos and Viet Nam. These are estimated to be the world’s most contaminated countries, as a result of the cluster munitions used by the USA in the region in 1965–75.\textsuperscript{38} Laos also recorded the highest number of casualties linked to cluster munition remnants in 2017 (32), demonstrating the continuing legacy of cluster munition use 50 years on.\textsuperscript{39}
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Between 2010 and 2017, more than 688,000 submunitions were destroyed and at least 518 km² of land was cleared worldwide. Nonetheless, at least 26 states and three other areas remain contaminated by cluster munitions. An accurate estimate of the total size of the contaminated area is not possible because the extent of contamination and the progress of clearance are difficult to discern in many states, especially non-signatory states. Eight states parties have so far completed clearance of areas declared contaminated under the CCM. Only Croatia among the 13 states parties with declared contaminated areas and ongoing clearance programmes is judged to be on track to meet its mandated 10-year clearance deadline.

A regional workshop on conventional arms control treaties convened by New Zealand in Auckland on 12–14 February 2018 held a discussion on the convention.

**Eighth Meeting of the States Parties to the CCM**

The Eighth Meeting of the States Parties to the CCM took place in Geneva on 3–5 September 2018 under the presidency of Nicaragua. It was the third formal meeting since the adoption of the 2015 Dubrovnik Action Plan, a five-year plan that provides a roadmap for states to implement and universalize the CCM. In the final report of the meeting, states parties ‘expressed their strong concern regarding recent incidents and evidence of use of cluster munitions in different parts of the world’ and ‘condemned any use by any actor’. They also expressed satisfaction at the progress made with the implementation of the Dubrovnik Action Plan.
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