IV. The reporting of military expenditure data to the United Nations

NOEL KELLY

The United Nations Report on Military Expenditures remains an important source of official data on military expenditure.¹ The reporting mechanism, which was introduced in 1981, is managed by the UN Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA). Each year the UN Secretary-General invites all member states (currently 193) through a *note verbale* to report their military expenditure by 30 April for the most recent financial year for which data is available. The original basis for this request is a UN General Assembly resolution adopted in 1980.² Successive biennial General Assembly resolutions have called for the continued reporting of military expenditure by member states.³

In recent years response rates to the annual invitation have been significantly higher than they were in the 1980s, when on average just 15 per cent of UN member states participated. In the period 2002–2008, the response rate averaged 40 per cent. This increase was mainly attributed to the UNODA's efforts to promote the reporting process, supported by some member states, and to the introduction in 2002 of a simplified reporting form.⁴

Reporting rates have declined and become more erratic since 2009 (see table 9.8). They fell to 30 per cent in 2009—the lowest level since 2000—and, after increases in 2010 and 2011, fell to a new low of 25 per cent in 2012 before increasing again in 2013 to 29 per cent. As of September 2014, the UNODA had received reports on military expenditure data from 49 of the 193 UN member states—a decrease in the participation rate to 25 per cent, although late submissions could increase this number.⁵

Participation among states in Europe declined most significantly, from 81 per cent of states in 2013 to 58 per cent in 2014 (see table 9.9). The reporting rate for the Americas rose to 34 per cent in 2014, up from 29 per

 5 United Nations, General Assembly, 'Objective information on military matters, including transparency of military expenditures', Report of the Secretary-General, A/69/135, 16 July 2014 and A/69/135/Add.1, 12 Sep. 2014.

¹ Until 2012 the United Nations Report on Military Expenditures was known as the United Nations Standardized Instrument for Reporting Military Expenditures. UN General Assembly Resolution 66/20, 2 Dec. 2011.

² UN General Assembly Resolution 35/142 B, 12 Dec. 1980.

³ The most recent resolution is UN General Assembly Resolution 68/23, 5 Dec. 2013.

⁴ United Nations, General Assembly, 'Group of governmental experts on the operation and further development of the United Nations standardized instrument for reporting military expenditures', Note by the Secretary-General, A/66/89, 14 June 2011, p. 14.

	2002	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
No. of UN member states	191	192	192	192	193	193	193
Total no. of reports	81	58	60	67	49	56	49
Standardized reports	70	42	41	47	31	38	33
Simplified reports ^b		10	12	10	12	15	14
Nil reports ^c	11	6	7	10	5	3	2
Response rate (%)	42	30	31	35	25	29	25
Reports from non-UN member states ^d	1	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 9.8. Number of countries reporting their military expenditure to the United Nations, 2002, $2009-14^a$

^{*a*} Years are the year of the Secretary-General's request (the deadline of which is 30 Apr. of the following year). The reports relate to spending in the most recently completed financial year.

^b Countries reporting to the UN with both standardized and simplified reports are listed as standardized reports to avoid double counting.

^c A nil report is a questionnaire returned to the UN with no data entered, usually submitted by a country that does not maintain regular armed forces.

^d Reports from non-UN member states are not included in other totals.

Sources: United Nations, General Assembly, 'Objective information on military matters, including transparency of military expenditures', Reports of the Secretary-General, Various dates, 2002–14, http://www.un.org/disarmament/convarms/Milex/.

cent in 2013.⁶ The participation rate in Asia and Oceania remained at 12 per cent in 2014.⁷ Only three African countries reported information in 2014, and only one country provided data from the Middle East.

The most recent review of the reporting mechanism was published by the Group of Governmental Experts (GGE) in 2011. It was endorsed by the UN General Assembly.⁸ The GGE report made a series of recommendations and expressed the hope that the reporting process could be developed further. However, the publication of the GGE report has not led to any significant upward trend in the number of states reporting information to the UN.

In its report, the GGE suggested that low reporting rates in some regions could be explained by the incompatibility of national accounting systems with the reporting matrix. Other factors mentioned were: (*a*) the complexity of the reporting form; (*b*) a lack of political commitment, interest or capacity; and (*c*) the sensitivity around reporting military expenditures. These considerations shaped the recommendations of the report.

To better accommodate the particularities of national accounting systems, and facilitate and enhance participation in the UN Report on Mili-

⁶ The reporting rate for the Americas in 2013 was 29%, not 20% as reported in Kelly, N., 'The reporting of military data to the United Nations', *SIPRI Yearbook 2014*, p. 202.

⁷ The reporting rate for Asia and Oceania in 2013 was 12% and not 14% as reported in Kelly, N., 'The reporting of military data to the United Nations', *SIPRI Yearbook 2014*, p. 204.

⁸ United Nations, A/66/89 (note 4); and UN General Assembly Resolution A/66/20 (note 1).

tary Expenditures the GGE agreed a common understanding of the term 'military expenditure'. The definition to be used in UN reporting refers to all financial resources that a state spends on the uses and functions of its military forces.⁹

The GGE also agreed a number of modifications to the standardized and simplified reporting forms, and developed a format for the 'nil' report (i.e. reports with no data entered). Although the standardized form is preferred, states can choose which reporting form they consider most appropriate and are encouraged to complement their submissions with explanations, and additional material and documentation.¹⁰

Given that a simplified form has existed since 2002, it was always unlikely that these recommendations on their own would lead to increased participation, and participation rates since 2011 have proved this to be the case. Two recommendations in the report that, if applied effectively, might increase participation are better promotion of the importance of the report by the UN Secretariat and offering practical assistance in capacity building to assist states with their responses.¹¹

The GGE report encouraged officials at higher levels of the UN Secretariat to disseminate information on the report more actively.¹² To further efforts to improve communication between the Secretariat and the UN member states, it recommended that national reports include details of the national contact points.¹³ The GGE also called for continued periodic review of the report to ensure its continued relevance. It is not clear what measures the UN has taken to follow up the GGE's recommendations.

The political sensitivity of military expenditure may be a primary reason for not reporting in some cases. However, this cannot be the reason why the majority of states do not report, as many of these states make their military budgets available online to the general public—even if in many cases the information provided elsewhere is fairly basic.¹⁴ Equally, the fact that many countries have responded at least once suggests that they have the capacity to report but lack the political commitment to do so consistently.

⁹ United Nations, A/66/89 (note 4), p. 21.

¹⁰ United Nations, A/66/89 (note 4), p. 2.

¹¹ United Nations, A/66/89 (note 4), pp. 23–24.

¹² United Nations, A/66/89 (note 4), p. 15.

¹³ United Nations, A/66/89 (note 4), p. 20.

¹⁴ United Nations, Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA) and SIPRI, *Promoting Further Open*ness and Transparency in Military Matters: An Assessment of the United Nations Standardized Instrument for Reporting Military Expenditures, UNODA Occasional Papers no. 20 (United Nations: New York, Nov. 2010), p. 22.

0 1	No. of countries	Countries reporting to the UN (including nil reports)	Total	Response rate (%)
Africa	54			6
North Africa	4			
Sub-Saharan Africa	50	Burkina Faso, Mauritius, Namibia,	3	
Americas	35		12	34
Central America and the Caribbear	1 21	Barbados ^b , El Salvador ^b , Jamaica ^b , Mexico, Trinidad and Tobago	5	
Iorth America	2	Canada, USA	2	
South America	12	Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, Peru ^b , Uruguay ^b	5	
Isia and Oceania	42		5	12
Central and South Asia	12	India ^b , Kazakhstan	2	
Cast Asia	5	Japan	1	
Dceania	14	Australia, Kiribati ^a	2	
South East Asia	11	-		
Europe	48		28	58
Central and Western Europe	41	Albania, Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Cyprus ^b , Czech Republic ^b ,	25	
		Estonia, Finland, Germany, Hungary ^b , Ireland ^b , Latvia, Liechtenstein ^a ,		
		Luxembourg, Macedonia (FYR), Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal,		
		Romania, Serbia, Slovakia ^b , Spain ^b , Sweden, Switzerland		
Eastern Europe	7	Belarus, Georgia ^b , Russia	3	
Middle East	14	Lebanon	1	-
Fotal	193		49	25

Table 9.9. Reporting of military expenditure data to the United Nations, by region and subregion, 2014

^{*a*} These countries submitted nil reports. ^{*b*} These countries reported with the simplified form.

Sources: United Nations, General Assembly, 'Objective information on military matters, including transparency of military expenditures', Report of the Secretary-General, A/69/135, 16 July 2014, and A/69/135/Add.1, 12 Sep. 2014.