

Appendix 4D. The 100 largest arms-producing companies, 1999

REINHILDE WEIDACHER, ANNE BRANDT-HANSEN and
the SIPRI ARMS INDUSTRY NETWORK*

I. The SIPRI ‘top 100’ in 1999 and major events in 2000

After half a decade of rapid concentration in the context of shrinking markets, the Western arms industry has entered a new phase of reorganization in which a smaller number of large companies face a constant if not growing level of demand for new military equipment.

A period of intensive mergers and acquisitions (M&A) began in the early 1990s. Among large aerospace companies, concentration culminated in 1997–98 in the USA and in 1999–2000 in Western Europe. The high rate of concentration in 1999 is reflected in the significant increase in the combined value of arms sales of the 100 largest arms-producing companies in the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and developing countries (except China)—by more than 11 per cent in nominal terms, from \$141 billion in 1998 to \$157 billion in 1999 (table 4D.2).¹ Mergers and acquisitions accounted for the overwhelming share of this increase.

The US Government responded to the high rate of concentration achieved by 1999 with an arms industrial policy which had as one of its major aims to preserve a sufficient level of competition in order to improve ‘affordability’ for the Department of Defense (DOD) and promote ‘innovation’ in military technology. In July 2000 the DOD adopted a new competition policy ‘requiring that DOD consider the effects of its acquisitions and technology strategy and budget plans on future competition’.² To facilitate continued competition the DOD also favours a ‘competitive transatlantic industrial model—with industrial linkages among multiple firms on both sides of the Atlantic and technology sharing subject to security safeguards’.³

M&A in the US arms industry continued in 2000. The characteristics of the concentration process have, however, changed compared with the early and mid-

¹ However, the group of 100 largest companies in 1998 had combined arms sales in 1998 of roughly \$156 billion—nearly the same level as the group of 100 largest companies in 1999.

² US Department of Defense, *Annual Industrial Capabilities Report to Congress, January 2001*, p. 6, URL <http://www.acq.osd.mil/ia/docs/report_to_congress_2001.pdf>.

³ US Department of Defense (note 2), p. 7.

* Participants in the network are: Sibylle Bauer (Institut d’Études Européennes, Université libre de Bruxelles); Paul Dunne (Middlesex University, London); Ken Epps (Project Ploughshares Canada, Ontario); Gülay Günlük-Senesen (Istanbul University); Jean-Paul Hébert (Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherches sur la Paix et d’Études Stratégiques, CIRPES, Paris); Peter Hug (Bern); Christos Kollias (School of Business and Economics, Larissa); Shinichi Kono (Mitsubishi Research Institute, Tokyo); Guy Lamb (Centre for Conflict Resolution, Cape Town); Luc Mampaey (Groupe de Recherche et d’Information sur la Paix et la Sécurité, GRIP, Brussels); Arcadi Oliveres and Manuel Manonelles (Centre d’Estudis sobre la Pau i el Desarmament, Barcelona); Ton van Oosterhout (Netherlands Organisation for Applied Scientific Research, TNO, The Hague); and Reuven Pedatzur (Tel Aviv University).

1990s. Consolidation has shifted to aerospace companies concentrating on subcontracting,⁴ partly as a result of the need for streamlining of activities of the recently formed giant companies and partly as a response by subcontractors to the high level of concentration among prime contractor companies. Consolidation was also intensive in information technology (IT) and in particular the IT service sector. The two most important events were the proposed acquisitions of Honeywell International by General Electric in the aerospace engine sector and of Litton by Northrop Grumman, an acquisition which, among other things, aims at creating one of the USA's 'largest federal IT suppliers'.⁵

West European arms industrial policy in 2000 was still focused on the promotion of concentration rather than on its control. Efforts to overcome political and regulatory impediments to continued consolidation resulted in the signing by six European Union (EU) member states of the Framework Agreement in July 2000, after two years of negotiations on the Letter of Intent.⁶ Also in Europe, consolidation efforts have been most intense within the aerospace and electronics sectors over the past three years. In 2000, however, both the French and German governments stated their support for increased consolidation in the land systems and military shipbuilding sectors.⁷

Concentration in the West European arms industry advanced in 2000 within the military electronics sector. This was partly precipitated by the ongoing consolidation in the USA, and partly by the establishment of two large aerospace conglomerates in Europe—the UK-based but strongly US-oriented BAE SYSTEMS in 1999 and the tri-nation European Aeronautic, Defence and Space Company (EADS) in 2000.⁸ The French electronics company Thomson-CSF, subsequently renamed Thales, acquired Racal Electronics (UK), and the British electronics company Smiths Industries announced plans to acquire the TI Group (UK).

Transatlantic M&A continued in 2000, primarily in the form of acquisitions by British companies in the USA, most importantly the acquisition of the aerospace electronics activities of Lockheed Martin by BAE SYSTEMS.⁹ Rather than direct acquisitions of European companies, US arms-producing companies are seeking more

⁴ Scott, W. B., 'Industry consolidation seen shifting to subcontractors, suppliers', *Aviation Week & Space Technology*, 1 Jan. 2001, pp. 63–64.

⁵ Northrop Grumman, 'Northrop Grumman to acquire Litton Industries for \$80 per share cash', Press release, 21 Dec. 2000, URL <http://www.northgrum.com/news/news_releases/1200-184_Litton.html>.

⁶ Framework Agreement between the French Republic, the Republic of Germany, the Italian Republic, the Kingdom of Spain, the Kingdom of Sweden, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland concerning Measures to Facilitate the Restructuring and Operation of the European Defence Industry, 27 July 2000, URL <<http://projects.sipri.se/expcon/loi/indrest02.htm>>. See also Bauer, S. and Winks, R., 'The institutional framework for European armaments policy co-operation' in European Commission, *Defence Industry Restructuring in the 1990s and Beyond*, COST A10, European Co-operation in the Field of Scientific and Technical Research, Action 10, Defense Restructuring and Conversion (European Commission: forthcoming 2001).

⁷ Barrie, D. and Tigner, B., 'Germany clears path for home-grown armored giant', *Defense News*, 13 Nov. 2000, pp. 1, 20; and 'France favours European defense sector consolidation', Agence France-Presse (AFP), 25 Jan. 2001, in Foreign Broadcast Information Service, *Daily Report—West Europe (FBIS-WEU)*, FBIS-WEU-2001-0125, 25 Jan. 2001. For an overview of the European shipbuilding industry see Smit, W., 'Naval shipbuilding in Europe', in *Defence Industry Restructuring in the 1990s and Beyond* (note 5). For a presentation of the West European land systems industry see Anderson, J. J., *Cold War Dinosaurs or Hi-tech Arms Providers*, Occasional Papers 23 (WEU Institute for Security Studies: Paris, Feb. 2001), available at URL <<http://www.weu.int/institute/>>.

⁸ French, German and Spanish.

⁹ 'Last year strongest ever with \$84.5bn in mergers', *Air Letter*, 22 Jan. 2001, p. 7; and Cook, N., 'UK industry follows the money', *Interavia*, Nov. 2000, p. 3.

Table 4D.1. The 10 largest arms-producing companies in 1999, arms sales 1990–99
 Figures for arms sales are in US \$b., at constant 1998 prices and exchange rates. The figures in italics are the percentage share of arms in total sales for each company.

| Company, country | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Lockheed Martin (USA) | — | — | — | — | 15.9 | 14.8 | 18.7 | 18.8 | 17.9 | 17.6 |
| | — | — | — | — | 63 | 60 | 67 | 66 | 68 | 70 |
| Boeing (USA) | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 15.3 |
| | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 22 | 18 | 32 | 28 | 27 |
| BAE SYSTEMS (UK) | 10.4 | 9.1 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 10.5 | 15.7 |
| | 44 | 40 | 42 | 36 | 62 | 68 | 72 | 74 | 74 | 77 |
| Raytheon (USA) | 6.9 | 6.0 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 12.5 | 11.3 |
| | 57 | 54 | 52 | 49 | 35 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 64 | 58 |
| Northrop Grumman (USA) | 6.2 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 |
| | 90 | 90 | 89 | 89 | 85 | 84 | 83 | 79 | 75 | 79 |
| General Dynamics (USA) | 10.4 | 9.1 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 5.5 |
| | 82 | 80 | 92 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 92 | 90 | 84 | 62 |
| Thomson-CSF (France) | 5.6 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 4.1 |
| | 77 | 77 | 75 | 70 | 65 | 65 | 64 | 64 | 63 | 56 |
| Litton (USA) | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.8 |
| | 58 | 60 | 59 | 91 | 92 | 91 | 89 | 83 | 73 | 70 |
| United Technologies (USA) | 5.1 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| Aérospatiale Matra (France) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 3.3 |
| | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 24 |

Source: SIPRI arms industry database.

flexible forms of industrial alliances, such as teaming arrangements.¹⁰ The continuing fragmentation of the European market for military equipment is perceived by US companies as the major disincentive to acquisitions in Europe, since the establishment of a subsidiary in one country does not have any direct impact on market access in other countries.¹¹ A joint venture between Thales (France) and Raytheon (USA) in the development and production of air defence and radar systems, Thales Raytheon Systems, was approved by the US Government in November 2000. EADS and Northrop Grumman (USA) are also searching for closer industrial relations in intelligence, reconnaissance and surveillance systems. The primary aim of these alliances is to broaden market access.¹²

The ‘construction of a transatlantic *industrial bridge* is underway and accelerating’ according to the January 2001 DOD report on the state of the arms industry.¹³ However, while closer industrial relations will continue to develop, full mergers between

¹⁰ For an overview of international alliances formed by large US companies see Ripley, T., ‘US defense industry global partnerships’, *Defense Systems Daily*, version as of 7 Mar. 2001, on URL <<http://defence-data.com/archive/pagerip2.htm>>.

¹¹ US General Accounting Office (GAO), ‘Defense trade, contractors engage in varied international alliances’, GAO/NSIAD-00-213, Sep. 2000, available on URL <<http://www.gao.gov>>.

¹² Tigner, B., ‘EADS, Northrop Grumman look for right relationship’, *Defense News*, 12 Feb. 2001, pp. 1, 20.

¹³ US Department of Defense (note 2), p. 8.

the largest US and European arms-producing companies seem unlikely to occur in the short term given the unfavourable political, regulatory and business conditions.¹⁴

The results of the restructuring of the arms industry during the past decade are now beginning to emerge. In the USA, where the concentration has been most rapid, specialization in military activities by large prime contractors and ‘segregated divisions of larger corporations’ accelerated during the 1990s.¹⁵ However, smaller prime contractors and larger companies concentrating on subcontracting and services were more successful in reducing their dependence on military sales.¹⁶ Because of their reliance on military programmes, the largest prime contractors have increased their vulnerability to changes in procurement budgets. At the same time concentration of production and development capacities in a small number of companies has increased the governments’ vulnerability to lobbying from industry.¹⁷

In Western Europe, the largest military contractor, BAE SYSTEMS, has increased its dependence on military programmes significantly—from 44 per cent of total sales in 1990 to 77 per cent in 1999 (table 4D.1). The company has suffered severe financial problems in 2000, which are interpreted as the consequence of programme delays and falling military orders.¹⁸ In response to its difficulties BAE SYSTEMS demanded from the British Government a reduced emphasis on competition and fixed pricing in large military programmes,¹⁹ challenging some of the driving principles of the procurement reforms of the 1990s.²⁰

Reorganization of the arms industry is likely to continue in 2001 in the form of continued consolidation through M&A among smaller companies and subcontractors as well as internal restructuring efforts by large prime contractors. Despite the high level of concentration achieved during the post-cold war restructuring of the 1990s, the Western arms industry seems still to be characterized by considerable overcapacities. In the USA a recent report by DOD, financial market and arms industry representatives found that the wave of concentration has led to a sharp reduction in the number of companies but to only a marginal reduction in the number of arms-producing facilities. This was, according to the report, the result of financial disincentives and ‘companies’ concerns that closure of facilities will result in reduced political support’. Incomplete industry rationalization led to a low level of efficiency compared with the civilian industry.²¹

Despite expectations of an upswing in the demand for military equipment, as reflected in DOD forecasts of an increase in US employment in arms production in

¹⁴ James, A. D., ‘The prospects for a transatlantic defence industry’, eds G. Adams *et al.*, *Between Cooperation and Competition: The Transatlantic Defence Market*, Chaillot Papers 44 (WEU Institute for Security Studies: Paris, Jan. 2001), pp. 93–122.

¹⁵ US Department of Defense (note 2).

¹⁶ Oden, M., Wolf-Powers, L. and Markusen, A., ‘Post-cold war conversion: gains, losses, and hidden changes in the US economy’, Paper for the Council on Foreign Relations Arms Trade Conference, New York, 14 June 2000, URL <http://www.foreignrelations.org/public/armstrade/oden_military_paper.html>.

¹⁷ Political lobbying efforts are intense ‘for higher defence budgets, NATO-expansion, liberalized arms export policies and relaxed anti-trust that would permit trans-Atlantic mergers and privatization’. Oden *et al.* (note 16).

¹⁸ *Defence Industry*, Jan. 2001, p. 9.

¹⁹ ‘Anger at BAe bid to freeze out contract rivals’, *Air Letter*, 13 Mar. 2001, p. 5.

²⁰ de Brigant, G., ‘Is competition compatible with defense?’, 14 Mar. 2001, URL <<http://www.defense-aerospace.com/data/features/data/fe167/index.htm>>.

²¹ American Institute for Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA), ‘Defense reform 2001: a blueprint for action, setting the stage’, Washington, DC, 14–15 Feb. 2001, URL <http://www.defensereform.org/Home/Setting_the_Stage_-_FINAL.pdf>, pp. 4–6.

2001,²² it seems likely that there will be strong pressure on companies to rationalize production through the closure of facilities. After the large-scale M&As in 1999 and 2000 the two largest arms-producing companies in Western Europe, BAE SYSTEMS and EADS, have also announced major rationalization schemes, including employment cuts.²³

II. Sources and methods

Table 4D.2 contains information on the 100 largest arms-producing companies in the OECD and the developing countries, ranked by their arms sales in 1999.²⁴ Companies with the designation *S* in the column for rank in 1999 are subsidiaries; their arms sales are included in the figure in column 6 for the parent company. Subsidiaries are listed in the position in which they would appear if they were independent companies. In order to facilitate comparison with data for the previous year, the rank order and arms sales figures for 1998 are also given. Where new data for 1998 have become available, this information is included in the table; thus the 1998 rank order and the arms sales figures for some companies which appeared in table 6A in the *SIPRI Yearbook 2000* have been revised.

Sources of data. The data in the table are based on the following sources: company reports, a questionnaire sent to over 400 companies, and corporation news published in the business sections of newspapers, military journals and on the Internet. Company archives, marketing reports, government publication of prime contracts and country surveys were also consulted. In many cases exact figures on arms sales were not available, mainly because companies often do not report their arms sales or lump them together with other activities. Estimates were therefore made.

Definitions. Data on total sales, profits and employment are for the entire company, not for the arms-producing sector alone. Profit data are after taxes in all cases when the company provides such data. Employment data are either a year-end or a yearly average figure as reported by the company. Data are reported on the fiscal year basis reported by the company in its annual report.

Key to abbreviations in column 5. A = artillery, Ac = aircraft, El = electronics, Eng = engines, Mi = missiles, MV = military vehicles, SA/A = small arms/ammunition, Sh = ships, and Oth = other. Comp () = components of the product within the parentheses. It is used only for companies which do not produce any final systems.

²² US Department of Defense, Office of the Under Secretary of Defense, 'National defense budget estimates for FY2001', Mar. 2000, URL <<http://www.dtic.mil/comptroller/fy2001budget/fy2001grbk.pdf>>, table 7-5, p. 213.

²³ 'BAE SYSTEMS says Nimrod, Hawk hit bottom line', *Defence Systems Daily*, 10 Jan. 2001, URL <<http://defence-data.com/archive/page9579.htm>>; and 'EADS to cut jobs to stem losses', *Air Letter*, 2 Jan. 2001, p. 7.

²⁴ For the membership of the OECD, see the glossary in this volume. The category of developing countries covers all countries other than the OECD and the former and current centrally planned economies, for which there is a lack of comparable data at the enterprise level.

Table 4D.2. The 100 largest arms-producing companies in the OECD and developing countries, 1999
 Figures in columns 6, 7, 8 and 10 are in US \$m.^a Figures in italics are percentages.

| Rank ^b | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | | |
|-------------------|------|--|----------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|------------|-----|--------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 1999 | 1998 | Company ^c | Country | Sector ^d | 1999 | 1998 | Arms sales | | | Total sales | Col. 6 as % of col. 8 | Profit 1999 | Employment 1999 |
| 1 | 1 | Lockheed Martin | USA | Ac El Mi | 17 930 | 17 880 | 25 530 | 70 | 382 | 149 000 | | | |
| 2 | 2 | Boeing | USA | Ac El Mi | 15 600 | 15 900 | 57 993 | 27 | 2 309 | 197 000 | | | |
| 3 | 4 | BAE SYSTEMS ^e | UK | A Ac El Mi SA/A Sh | 15 470 | 10 520 | 20 050 | 77 | 1 804 | 71 150 | | | |
| 4 | 3 | Raytheon | USA | El Mi | 11 530 | 12 480 | 19 841 | 58 | 404 | 105 300 | | | |
| 5 | 5 | Northrop Grumman | USA | Ac El Mi SA/A MV Sh | 7 070 | 6 720 | 8 995 | 79 | 467 | 44 600 | | | |
| 6 | 7 | General Dynamics | USA | 5 550 | 4 160 | 8 959 | 62 | 880 | 43 400 | | | | |
| 7 | S | Thomson-CSF | France | El Mi SA/A | 4 080 | 4 580 | 7 340 | 56 | 293 | 48 920 | | | |
| 8 | 9 | Litton ^f | USA | El Sh | 3 910 | 3 230 | 5 592 | 70 | 122 | 40 800 | | | |
| 9 | 8 | United Technologies, UTC ^g | USA | El Eng | 3 480 | 3 260 | 24 996 | 14 | 1 558 | 148 300 | | | |
| 10 | - | Aérospatiale Matra, AM | France | Ac El Mi | 3 300 | 0 | 13 743 | 24 | 58 | 52 390 | | | |
| 11 | 10 | DaimlerChrysler, DC | FRG | Ac El Eng MV Mi | 3 070 | 3 050 | 159 797 | 2 | 6 122 | 466 940 | | | |
| S | S | DaimlerChrysler Aerospace, DASA (DC) | FRG | Ac El Eng Mi | 3 040 | 3 020 | 9 792 | 31 | 64 | 46 110 | | | |
| 12 | 12 | IRI | Italy | A Ac El MV Mi SA/A Sh | 3 000 | 2 690 | 23 945 | 13 | 3 103 | 108 970 | | | |
| 13 | 11 | TRW | USA | Comp (El MV) Oth | 2 990 | 2 900 | 16 969 | 18 | 469 | 122 260 | | | |
| S | S | Finnmeccanica (IRI) | Italy | A Ac El MV Mi SA/A | 2 790 | 2 420 | 6 373 | 44 | 70 | 43 690 | | | |
| S | S | Matra BAE Dynamics (AM, BAE SYSTEMS, UK) | France/ France | Mi | 2 660 | 1 970 | 2 660 | 100 | .. | .. | | | |
| 14 | 13 | Mitsubishi Heavy Industries ^h | Japan | Ac MV Mi Sh | 2 460 | 2 540 | 25 240 | 10 | -1 203 | 64 990 | | | |
| 15 | 14 | Rolls Royce ⁱ | UK | Eng MV | 2 410 | 2 150 | 8 982 | 27 | .. | 49 600 | | | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | |
|-------------------|------|--|----------------------|---------------|-------|-----------------------------|---------|------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Rank ^b | | | Company ^c | | | Country Sector ^d | | | Arms sales | | |
| 1999 | 1998 | 1998 | 1999 | 1998 | 1999 | 1999 | 1998 | 1999 | Col. 6 as % of col. 8 | Profit 1999 | Employment 1999 |
| S | S | Pratt & Whitney (UTC) | USA | Eng | 2 120 | 1 940 | 7 674 | 28 | .. | 30 000 | |
| 16 | 27 | GKN | UK | Ac MV | 1 860 | 1 160 | 7 514 | 25 | 586 | 39 790 | |
| 17 | 17 | Newport News | USA | Sh | 1 830 | 1 720 | 1 863 | 98 | 97 | 17 300 | |
| 18 | 16 | DCN | France | Sh | 1 700 | 1 840 | 1 754 | 97 | .. | 16 420 | |
| 19 | 18 | General Electric | USA | Eng | 1 600 | 1 600 | 111 630 | 1 | 10 717 | 340 000 | |
| 20 | 28 | Computer Sciences Corp. ^j | USA | Oth | 1 470 | 1 110 | 9 371 | 16 | 403 | 58 000 | |
| 21 | 21 | Rheinmetall | FRG | A El MV S/A/A | 1 420 | 1 280 | 4 809 | 30 | -6 | 33 050 | |
| 22 | - | Honeywell International | USA | Ac El Mi | 1 420 | 0 | 23 735 | 6 | 1 541 | 120 000 | |
| S | S | Rheinmetall DeTec (Rheinmetall) FRG | A El MV S/A/A | A El MV S/A/A | 610 | 1 420 | 100 | -21 | .. | 9 250 | |
| 23 | 20 | ITT Industries | USA | El | 1 410 | 1 290 | 4 632 | 31 | 233 | 37 870 | |
| 24 | 29 | Textron | USA | Ac El Eng MV | 1 300 | 1 100 | 11 579 | 11 | 2 226 | 68 000 | |
| 25 | 25 | United Defense | USA | MV | 1 210 | 1 200 | 1 210 | 100 | 1 541 | 4 850 | |
| 26 | 22 | Israel Aircraft Industries | Israel | Ac El Mi | 1 200 | 1 220 | 2 000 | 60 | 70 | 14 300 | |
| 27 | 26 | CEA | France | Oth | 1 190 | 1 190 | 3 022 | 39 | .. | .. | |
| S | S | Sikorsky (UTC) | USA | Ac | 1 170 | 1 270 | .. | .. | .. | 7 000 | |
| 28 | 42 | Kawasaki Heavy Industries ^h | Japan | Ac Eng Mi Sh | 1 160 | 670 | 10 325 | 11 | -167 | 29 770 | |
| 29 | 30 | Science Applications ^j | USA | Oth | 1 140 | 1 040 | 5 530 | 21 | 620 | 39 080 | |
| 30 | 35 | Ordnance Factories | India | A SA/A | 1 120 | 820 | 1 240 | 90 | .. | .. | |
| 31 | 39 | L-3 Communications | USA | El | 1 000 | 770 | 1 406 | 71 | 59 | 10 200 | |
| 32 | 15 | Dassault Aviation | France | Ac | 990 | 1 870 | 3 078 | 32 | 186 | 11 600 | |
| 33 | 38 | Mitsubishi Electric ^h | Japan | El Mi | 980 | 790 | 33 896 | 3 | 223 | 116 590 | |
| 34 | 31 | SEPI ^k | Spain | Ac El Oth | 970 | 1 020 | .. | .. | .. | .. | |
| 35 | 24 | Celsius | Sweden | A El SA/A Sh | 920 | 1 200 | 1 416 | 65 | 6 | 9 130 | |
| 36 | 23 | GIAT Industries | France | A MV S/A/A | 890 | 1 200 | 927 | 97 | -154 | 8 000 | |
| S | S | Eurocopter (Aérospatiale Matra/ | France | Ac | 890 | 830 | 1 868 | 48 | .. | 9 680 | |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | |
|-------------------|------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------|------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Rank ^b | | | | Company ^c | | | | Arms sales | | | |
| 1999 | 1998 | Country | Sector ^d | 1999 | 1998 | 1999 | 1998 | Total sales | Col. 6 as % of col. 8 | Profit 1999 | Employment 1999 |
| 62 | 82 | Toshiba ^h | Japan | El Mi | 470 | 290 | 51 635 | 1 | -252 | 190 870 | |
| 63 | - | Marconi ⁱ | UK | El | 460 | 0 | 9 264 | 5 | 615 | 53 000 | |
| 64 | 56 | Hindustan Aeronautics ^l | India | Ac Mi | 450 | 470 | 476 | 95 | .. | .. | |
| 65 | 59 | Lucent Technologies | USA | El | 450 | 450 | 38 303 | 1 | 3 833 | 153 000 | |
| 66 | - | Babcock Borsig | FRG | Sh | 440 | 0 | 7 032 | 6 | 80 | 41 510 | |
| 67 | 64 | Elbit Systems | Israel | El | 440 | 410 | 440 | 100 | 31 | 2 050 | |
| 68 | 77 | Devonport Management | UK | Sh | 440 | 350 | 547 | 80 | 21 | 3 820 | |
| S | S | HDW (Babcock Borsig) | FRG | Sh | 440 | 280 | 673 | 65 | .. | 3 300 | |
| 69 | 85 | Primex Technologies | USA | SA/A | 430 | 280 | 544 | 79 | 20 | 2 850 | |
| 70 | 70 | Mitre | USA | Oth | 410 | 390 | 541 | 75 | 11 | .. | |
| 71 | 80 | Tenix | Australia | Sh | 390 | 300 | 645 | 60 | .. | 4 000 | |
| 72 | - | ThyssenKrupp | FRG | Sh | 390 | 190 | 34 496 | 1 | 293 | 184 770 | |
| 73 | 76 | Cobham | UK | Comp (Ac El) | 390 | 350 | 704 | 56 | 77 | 5 830 | |
| S | S | Bazan (SEPI) | Spain | El Eng Sh | 390 | 420 | 505 | 78 | .. | 5 500 | |
| 74 | 67 | Denel | S. Africa | A Ac El/MV Mi SA/A | 380 | 390 | 564 | 67 | -34 | 11 090 | |
| 75 | 65 | Alvis | UK | MV Oth | 380 | 410 | 380 | 100 | 49 | .. | |
| 76 | 74 | EG&G | USA | Comp (El Oth) | 380 | 360 | 500 | 76 | .. | .. | |
| 77 | 78 | NEC ^b | Japan | El | 370 | 340 | 43 819 | 1 | 91 | 154 790 | |
| 78 | 75 | AIDC | Taiwan | Ac | 370 | 350 | 731 | 50 | .. | 3 800 | |
| 79 | 71 | TI Group | UK | Comp (Ac) | 370 | 380 | 4 416 | 8 | 287 | 38 300 | |
| 80 | 92 | DRS Technologies | USA | El | 360 | 250 | 392 | 92 | 4 | 2 000 | |
| 81 | 81 | Labinal | France | Eng | 340 | 300 | 2 674 | 13 | 64 | 29 090 | |
| 82 | 73 | Babcock International, BI | UK | Sh | 340 | 360 | 762 | 45 | 36 | 6 550 | |
| S | S | Babcock Rosyth Defence (BI) | UK | Sh Oth | 340 | 360 | 340 | 100 | .. | .. | |
| 83 | 87 | Komatsu ^h | Japan | MV SA/A | 330 | 270 | 9 267 | 4 | 118 | 28 520 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|---|-----------|------------|----------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 84 | 68 | Cordant Technologies | USA | Eng SA/A | 330 | 390 | 2 513 | 13 | 164 | 17 200 |
| 85 | 66 | Koor Industries | Israel | A El | 320 | 400 | 2 579 | 12 | 133 | .. |
| S | S | Hollandse Signaalapparaten (Thomson-CSF, France) | Netherl. | El | 310 | 370 | 313 | 99 | -3 | 3 090 |
| 86 | 98 | Federman | Israel | El | 300 | 220 | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| 87 | - | Hitachi Zosen ^h | Japan | Sh | 300 | .. | 4 173 | 7 | 21 | 10 870 |
| 88 | - | Anteon ⁱ | USA | Oth | 300 | 190 | 401 | 75 | .. | 3 900 |
| S | S | Dornier (Daimler Aerospace) | FRG | Ac El | 300 | .. | 1 169 | 26 | 33 | 4 050 |
| S | S | El-Op (Federman) | Israel | El Oth | 300 | 220 | 300 | 100 | 14 | 2 000 |
| 89 | 91 | Vosper Thornycroft | UK | Sh | 290 | 250 | 443 | 65 | 39 | 3 880 |
| 90 | 80 | AM General Corporation | USA | MV | 290 | 320 | 348 | 83 | -10 | 1 070 |
| S | 88 | ADI (Transfield Holding/ Thomson-CSF, France) | Australia | El SA/A Sh | 290 | 270 | 369 | 78 | 123 | 3 100 |
| S | S | EDS Defence (EDS, USA) ^j | UK | El | 290 | .. | 290 | 100 | .. | 2 000 |
| 91 | 92 | BFGoodrich | USA | Comp (Ac) | 280 | 250 | 5 538 | 5 | 170 | 27 000 |
| 92 | 85 | Motorola | USA | El | 280 | 280 | 30 931 | 1 | 817 | 121 000 |
| S | S | Turbomeca (Labinal) | France | Eng | 270 | 240 | 566 | 48 | .. | .. |
| 93 | 90 | CAE | Canada | El | 260 | 250 | 784 | 33 | 61 | 6 000 |
| 94 | 95 | Bharat Electronics | India | El | 260 | 240 | 347 | 75 | 25 | 14 810 |
| S | S | Singapore Aerospace (ST Engineering) | Singapore | Ac El Eng | 260 | 290 | 506 | 52 | 74 | 4 250 |
| 95 | 89 | Ericsson | Sweden | El | 250 | 260 | 26 070 | 1 | 1 468 | 103 290 |
| 96 | - | Teledyne Technologies | USA | El | 250 | 0 | 803 | 31 | 49 | .. |
| 97 | - | Nissan Motor ^h | Japan | A MV | 240 | 200 | 53 678 | < 1 | -6 146 | 141 530 |
| S | S | Sextant Avionique (Thomson-CSF) | France | El | 240 | 280 | 911 | 26 | .. | 7 060 |
| 98 | 96 | Bombardier | Canada | El Mi | 230 | 230 | 9 166 | 2 | 484 | 56 000 |
| 99 | - | Ultra Electronics | UK | El | 230 | 200 | 312 | 75 | 16 | 2 000 |
| 100 | - | Japan Electronic Computer Co. ^h | Japan | El | 220 | 200 | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Total^o | | | | | 157 370 | [141 240] | - | - | - | - |

^a The period average of market exchange rates of the International Monetary Fund's *International Financial Statistics* is used for conversion to US dollars.

^b Rank designations in the column for 1998 may not correspond to those given in table 6A in the *SIPRI Yearbook 2000* because of subsequent revision. A dash (-) in this column indicates either that the company did not produce arms in 1998, or that it did not exist in 1998 as it was structured in 1999, in which case there is a zero (0) in column 7, or that it did not rank among the 100 largest companies in 1998. Companies with the designation S in the column for rank are subsidiaries.

^c Names in brackets are names of parent companies.

^d Key to abbreviations in column 5 is provided on page 306.

^e Data for BAE SYSTEMS are pro-forma sales as if the acquisition of Marconi Electronic Systems (MES) had occurred on 1 Jan. 1999. MES was acquired by British Aerospace on 29 Nov. 1999. Following the acquisition British Aerospace was renamed BAE SYSTEMS.

^f Data for Litton are pro-forma sales as if the acquisition of Avondale Industries had occurred on 1 Aug. 1998. Litton acquired Avondale Industries on 2 Aug. 1999.

^g Data for United Technologies are pro-forma sales as if the acquisition of Sundstrand had occurred on 1 Jan. 1999. United Technologies acquired Sundstrand in June 1999.

^h For Japanese companies figures in the arms sales column represent new military contracts rather than arms sales.

ⁱ Data for Rolls Royce are pro-forma sales as if the acquisition of Vickers had taken place on 1 Jan. 1999. Rolls Royce acquired Vickers on 17 Nov. 1999.

^j This company is a provider of IT services and products to defence ministries. Figures are for total sales to defence ministries, an unknown share of which is for military applications.

^k The former arms-producing subsidiary of SEPI, India was privatized in 1999.

^l All figures are for 1998.

^m The rank of Krauss-Maffei Wegmann in 1999 is derived from the combined arms sales of Wegmann and the arms-producing division of Mannesmann, Krauss-Maffei. These merged in Jan. 1999. The new company is owned 51% by Wegmann and 49% by Mannesmann.

ⁿ Marconi was incorporated on 17 Sep. 1999 in anticipation of the sale by GEC of Marconi Electronic Systems (MES) to British Aerospace (see note e). Marconi comprises all activities of the former GEC, except MES.

^o The total is the value of combined arms sales of parent companies.