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INTRODUCTION

Livelihoods are central to the relationship between climate change and conflict. Changes in temperature and precipitation patterns negatively affect household income sources and food supply, particularly those of households reliant on subsistence farming (rain-fed agriculture or livestock raising).\(^1\) The lack of alternative livelihood options, coupled with political, social and economic strife, may lead people to resort to violence to safeguard their means of survival.\(^2\)

Despite the recognition that climate change related livelihood deterioration is associated with conflict, the use of livelihood diversification as a peacebuilding tool remains limited in conflict-affected and post-conflict contexts, and most diversification is done autonomously as people try to manage the effects of climate change and conflict by, for example, changing crop planting times or engaging in petty trade.\(^3\) Moreover, although women play a significant role in agricultural production, initiatives that support women's livelihoods are overlooked as a peacebuilding tool, overshadowed by peacebuilding efforts that focus on addressing the consequences of sexual violence after conflict.\(^4\) The lack of livelihood diversification interventions is
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concerning given their potential to contribute to peacebuilding, especially if they encompass women’s livelihoods and acknowledge women’s capacity for peacebuilding.5

This SIPRI Policy Brief begins by exploring how livelihood diversification can contribute to conflict prevention and peacebuilding in climate- and conflict-affected contexts. It then examines how livelihood diversification can offer viable options in settings where climate change and resulting livelihood insecurity increase the risk of conflict. The brief highlights the importance to peacebuilding of supporting women’s economic activities and emphasizes that explicitly addressing women’s livelihoods empowers women and thus enables their contribution to peacebuilding efforts. To this end, the policy brief recommends: (a) supporting viable and sustainable livelihood diversification interventions to effectively address livelihood insecurity and associated conflict risks, and (b) increasing support for women’s livelihoods to promote women’s empowerment as a peacebuilding tool.

THE POTENTIAL CONTRIBUTION OF LIVELIHOOD DIVERSIFICATION TO PEACEBUILDING

The deterioration of conditions that support people’s livelihoods can increase the likelihood of human insecurity and violent conflict arising.6 Livelihoods such as farming and herding are adversely affected by climate change, and experiencing the impacts thereof may exacerbate grievances and tensions among marginalized groups, particularly in the absence of viable alternative livelihoods.7

Building and diversifying livelihoods, however, can have positive effects on peacebuilding in conflict-affected and post-conflict contexts, as people learn not only to manage the changes they experience but also to actively adjust to changing circumstances.8 Livelihood diversification is the process by which households move away from relying on a single source of income to engaging in a range of activities to ensure their survival and improve their living standards.9 For most rural agrarian households, diversification can be classified as either on-farm or off-farm. As its name implies, on-farm diversification includes income-generating activities that take place on the farm, such as growing crops, rearing livestock, hunting and fishing, while off-farm diversification encompasses activities that take place away from the farm, such as agroprocessing, trade and wage labour.10
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Livelihood diversification is an important strategy that individuals and households can employ to manage the effects of climate shocks on their way of life.\(^\text{11}\) Farmers in Nepal, for example, have moved away from farming to wage labour to cope with the impacts of climate change on agriculture.\(^\text{12}\) In Ghana, households have undertaken various new on-farm and off-farm activities, including expanding the types of crop they plant and migrating seasonally, to adapt to increased climate variability.\(^\text{13}\)

Livelihood diversification can also help people rebuild their lives in the aftermath of events other than climate shocks. In conflict settings, engaging in diverse income-generating activities can reduce livelihood insecurity and vulnerability, which may, in turn, prevent or mitigate conflict.\(^\text{14}\) Viable livelihood activities are equally crucial in post-conflict settings to facilitate recovery and peacebuilding processes. Interventions focused on providing ex-combatants with alternative livelihoods have yielded some success in reintegrating them into society.\(^\text{15}\) In the Sahel, for example, investing in the cultivation of drought-tolerant trees producing gum arabic—which can be directly consumed or used in the production of food, beverages and medicines—could provide a sustained source of income for former fighters from armed groups and help integrate them into the community.\(^\text{16}\) In Colombia, the provision of alternative livelihoods has contributed to peace by offering communities local employment and sources of income other than the production of illicit drug crops.\(^\text{17}\)

**Links between women’s livelihoods and peacebuilding**

Gender also influences livelihood diversification and peacebuilding. Gender relates to the social and cultural constraints that affect the roles, behaviours and activities in which men, women, boys and girls can engage.\(^\text{18}\) United Nations Security Council Resolution 1325 recognizes the role of women in conflict prevention and resolution, peacebuilding and post-conflict reconstruction. It also emphasizes the importance of women’s equal participation in all peace and security efforts and encourages the greater participation of
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women in those efforts.\textsuperscript{19} Research suggests that women’s participation in peacebuilding and peace processes can lead to more enduring and successful peace agreements.\textsuperscript{20}

The involvement of women in economic activities and economic reconstruction, through their engagement in both traditional and diversified livelihood activities, holds potential for peacebuilding. Women encounter many obstacles and challenges in maintaining their livelihoods in conflict-affected and post-conflict settings, such as restrictions on land ownership and water tenure and limited access to credit.\textsuperscript{21} Moreover, women’s economic roles shift during conflict as they undertake activities traditionally perceived as men’s in order to support their households in the absence of male family members who may have migrated in search of other livelihood opportunities.\textsuperscript{22}

Despite the challenges they face, livelihood diversification can enable women to adapt to changing circumstances and continue providing for their households. For example, pastoralist women in northern Kenya have diversified their income sources to mitigate the negative effects on their livelihoods they were experiencing due to drought, environmental degradation and conflict. With the help of governmental and non-governmental organizations, they formed informal self-help women-only community groups to support each other in diversifying their livelihoods through on-farm and off-farm activities, including growing crops they could easily sell for profit and starting microenterprises such as butcheries and bakeries. The profits from their activities were pooled in communal interest-earning savings accounts. The savings, along with monthly group membership fees, allowed group members to obtain loans for establishing their own small businesses. Funds from the savings accounts were also used to address the community’s needs, for example, paying school fees for vulnerable children and improving the community’s water and sanitation facilities. While diversifying their livelihoods and improving community infrastructure, the women in these community groups also engaged in peace meetings and political advocacy to address conflict.\textsuperscript{23}

Empowering women and promoting their active participation in economic activities can contribute to peacebuilding; conversely, excluding women from employment opportunities and economic benefits or focusing solely on protecting them from physical violence can reinforce inequality and oppression, both of which fuel conflict.\textsuperscript{24}
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THE RISKS OF OVERLOOKING LIVELIHOOD DIVERSIFICATION AND WOMEN’S ECONOMIC EMPOWERMENT IN CONFLICT-AFFECTED CONTEXTS

Although livelihood diversification and women’s economic empowerment can contribute to peacebuilding, these strategies have not been used to their full potential as peacebuilding tools. Livelihood diversification often occurs autonomously (i.e. without interventions or support), with limited capital, and in reaction to challenges rather than as part of a long-term strategy.\textsuperscript{25} Three limitations characterize current approaches used in livelihood programmes in conflict-affected settings, which are discussed below.

First, where dedicated livelihood diversification interventions have been implemented, their long-term viability and sustainability has not been well considered.\textsuperscript{26} Yet, without sustainable livelihood options, peacebuilding may be undermined.\textsuperscript{27} One way in which peace processes can be undermined is when people, in seeking livelihoods, return to activities or adopt illicit, unsustainable practices that may increase the risk of conflict.\textsuperscript{28} In Sierra Leone, for example, initiatives aimed at supporting alternative livelihoods for youth, ex-combatants and victims of war, including establishing reparation funds, reclaiming land, providing capacity building and skills training, and promoting agriculture, have been implemented to decrease the risk of conflict recurrence. Despite some success, these initiatives have fallen short of meeting people’s long-term needs. Consequently, people, particularly youth, have returned to the conflict-linked mining sector and the informal urban economy because they have proven to be more lucrative than agriculture in rural areas.\textsuperscript{29}

Second, addressing gender during peacebuilding has mostly focused on addressing sexual violence.\textsuperscript{30} Although UN Security Council Resolution 1325 on women, peace and security notes that economic reconstruction is essential to peacebuilding, women’s roles in economic reconstruction are often undefined, despite achieving this goal being a priority for many women.\textsuperscript{31} In northern Uganda, for example, many women have prioritized addressing the unequal distribution of economic resources over physical violence as a post-conflict concern.\textsuperscript{32} The focus on addressing physical violence against women in peacebuilding has neglected inequitable access to economic resources and
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women’s lack of economic opportunities, which are central to vulnerability, grievances and the likelihood of conflict.\footnote{Stork, A., Travis, C. and Halle, S., ‘Gender-sensitivity in natural resource management in Côte d’Ivoire and Sudan’, Peace Review, vol. 27, no. 2 (Apr. 2015); and Aguiari (note 31).}

Third, livelihood programmes implemented in conflict-affected settings tend to focus on men and the livelihood activities in which they engage, overlooking the needs of women or how their roles have changed after conflict. In Côte d’Ivoire, for example, women’s work accounts for 60–80 per cent of food production and trade. Despite this, women’s roles in agriculture and food production were overlooked in agricultural programmes for post-conflict reconstruction, which emphasized the growing of cash crops, generally grown by men, rather than food crops, generally grown by women.\footnote{Stork, Travis and Halle (note 33).}

This approach limited women from developing their own agriculture-based livelihoods, which had knock-on effects for food security.\footnote{Stork, Travis and Halle (note 33).}

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

Livelihood diversification and women’s economic empowerment both have the potential to contribute to peacebuilding. To this end, this policy brief recommends: (a) supporting viable and sustainable livelihood diversification interventions to effectively address livelihood insecurity and associated conflict risks; and (b) increasing support for women’s livelihoods to promote women’s empowerment as a peacebuilding tool.

**Supporting viable and sustainable livelihood diversification interventions**

In settings affected by climate change and conflict, supporting livelihood diversification to improve livelihood security can be crucial for conflict prevention and peacebuilding, especially where livelihood insecurity drives conflict.\footnote{Young and Goldman (note 3).}

Policymakers and practitioners should support and facilitate the implementation of livelihood diversification strategies that are viable, sustainable and climate-resilient; that meet people’s needs; that promote peacebuilding over the long term; and that prevent the recurrence of conflict linked to the deterioration of conditions that support livelihoods.

Combining on-farm and off-farm diversification in a complementary manner can yield better results. This is demonstrated in Karamoja, Uganda, an area susceptible to climate-related conflict. Although growing crops as the single source of livelihood diversification had, to some extent, improved livelihoods, the integration of more activities—both on-farm and off-farm—was ultimately needed to enhance livelihoods to a sufficient degree.\footnote{Muhereza, F. E., ‘Livestock-centered diversification and livelihood recovery in post-disarmament Karamoja’, Paper presented at the Pathways to Resilience in the Karamoja Cluster conference, 21–23 May 2019.}

Having diverse sources of income can also mitigate the incentive for people to adopt illicit or unsustainable livelihoods or return to conflict-linked livelihoods in the long term.
However, livelihood diversification alone may not yield significant results for peacebuilding. One crucial element for the success of livelihood diversification as a peacebuilding tool, especially in the context of long-term peacebuilding processes, is moving beyond viewing livelihood diversification from the sole perspective of its ability to generate economic benefits. Adopting a more holistic approach to livelihood diversification, one that integrates political, economic, social, environmental and cultural factors, can yield broader, longer-term benefits. Focusing solely on supporting the economic aspects of diversification, such as improving access to capital, may not necessarily support the social aspects that are essential to peacebuilding. Integrating activities that generate income with activities that promote social cohesion holds greater peacebuilding potential. Improving the participation of marginalized groups, such as women, in economic activities by reducing their barriers to livelihood diversification, such as the restrictions women face in land ownership and water tenure, has further potential to improve peacebuilding.

Increasing support for women’s livelihoods

Economic recovery after conflict is a concern for many women. Policymakers and practitioners should, therefore, design livelihood diversification programmes for conflict-affected and post-conflict settings that are specifically geared towards women and their economic needs. Such programmes should acknowledge and aim to eliminate the barriers that women encounter in engaging in livelihood activities, such as poor access to credit, lack of land tenure and restrictive gender norms.

Projects in conflict-affected countries that included women in livelihood diversification have demonstrated positive economic and peacebuilding benefits. For example, in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations supported the voluntary formation of community groups for discussing improvement of livelihoods and food security and sharing views on associated challenges. In the north-eastern DRC, fishing is a traditionally male-dominated activity and social norms prohibited women from taking a proactive role in fishing. Through discussion in the community groups and awareness-raising efforts, social norms shifted, allowing women to fish, thereby increasing their income and improving their food security. The community groups created an opportunity for women to engage in a new livelihood activity at the same time as empowering them and improving their status as they participated or took leadership roles in resolving tensions within the community, thus also contributing to peacebuilding. The change in social norms also improved
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household relationships between men and women, evidenced by a decrease in gender-based violence.\textsuperscript{42}

In Maluku, Indonesia, a livelihood support project implemented by the International Labour Organization and the United Nations Industrial Development Organization supported the creation of nutmeg juice cooperatives through material inputs and training.\textsuperscript{43} Under the project, women were involved in managing the cooperatives; as a result, their incomes increased, and they reported feeling empowered and independent as they did not have to rely on their husbands for money. The project also changed local gender norms and power relationships within communities, as women could make their voices heard and question their community leaders when they did not receive machinery or other equipment they were promised.\textsuperscript{44}

Women’s economic empowerment in conflict-affected contexts can improve their economic outlook as well as change social norms and enhance gender relations within communities. All these factors are important for peacebuilding. Livelihood diversification programmes in conflict-affected contexts should, therefore, be designed for women and aim to address their needs, as well as consider broad obstacles for women, such as restrictive gender norms and restrictions on land tenure, in order to contribute to sustainable peacebuilding.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Livelihood diversification can be a helpful tool in reducing the likelihood of climate-related conflict. Purposefully supporting sustainable and viable livelihood alternatives that consider the needs of women represents an additional avenue for peacebuilding.


\textsuperscript{43} Rigual (note 31), p. 163.

\textsuperscript{44} Rigual (note 31), pp. 163–167; and Prügl et al. (note 24), p. 18.